



DUT 64606

1968 MMR 18 16 58 Z

P 181639Z MAR 68 FM NPIC TO DIA BT S F C P F T CITF NPIC 3269

25X1

ction

REF: S-9134/XX-2, 23 FEBRUARY 1968 SUBJ: SUMMARY COMMENTS CONCERNING THE 11 BU Q MISSIONS EVALUATED BY NPIC.

1. THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARIZATION OF FACTORS AFFECTING IMAGE

QUALITY OF BU Q MISSIONS EVALUATED BY NPIC.

2. RANDOM PARTIAL VEILING OF THE VEHICLE WINDOWS BY CONDENSATION, FROST OR ANOTHER SUBSTANCE APPEARS AS THE MAJOR IMAGE DEGRADATION IN A MAJORITY OF THE MISSSIONS. OCCASIONALLY ENTIRE MISSIONS WERE AFFECTED.

3. THE DENSITY OF THE ORIGINAL NEGATIVES IS ADEQUATE TO SLIGHTLY THIN. IT IS FELT THAT AN EXPOSURE INCREASE OF FROM OME-HALF TO ONE F-STOP WOULD HAVE IMPROVED ALL MISSIONS. IN ADDITION, THE ORIGINAL NEGATIVES ARE OF LOW CONTRAST. THIS IS LIKELY DUE TO A COMBINATION OF THE SLIGHT UNDEREXPOSURE, HAZE CONDITIONS AND THE AFORMENTIONED WINDOW VEILING.

4. BANDING DUE TO UNEVEN SLIT TRAVEL IS EXPERIENCED ON ALL MISSIONS, ALTHOUGH NOT AFFORDING A MAJOR DEGRADATION OF THE IMAGERY. SUCH INTERRUPTED SHUTTER TRAVEL USUALLY INDICATES A TOTAL OF THE SYSTEM IS IN ORDER.

"CLEANING" OR FINE TUNING OF THE SYSTEM IS IN ORDER.

5. STANDARDIZATION OF THE INFORMATION CONTENT OF THE WRITE-IN
DATA BLOCK WOULD BE HELPFUL. SUGGESTED ENTRIES ARE: SORTIE/
MISSION NUMBER, DATE, CAMERA UNIT NUMBER, AND VEHICLE NUMBER.
SHUTTER SPEED (I.E., SLIT NUMBER REFERENCED TO A SHUTTER SPEED)
AND F-STOP FOR MISSION WOULD BE OF VALUE.

6. PROCESSING OF THE NEGATIVES CAUSED LITTLE IF ANY DEGRAPATIONS OF THE NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE WAS NOTICED IN THE PROCESSING FROM THE TWO PROCESSING SITES. THE DUPLICATE POSITIVES WERE PRODUCED AT A CONTRAST LEVEL SATISFACTORY FOR INTERPRETATION. IT IS FELT, HOWEVER, THAT IF THE INITIAL CAUSE FOR THE LOW CONTRAST ON THE CONTRAST

-- END OF MESSAGE --

DETECTION

10033

Cy No.

SECRET

680UF 1
Exchange from Antonia Company and Anto

Declassification Review by NGA ...

SECRET